

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Restriction/Election

The Office considered the claims of the present application to be directed to methods and products of several and distinct groups (Group I with claims 9-16, Group II with claims 17-21, and Group III with claims 22-27). More specifically, the Examiner required election of a single disclosed group as the product "...as claimed can be made by a materially different method such as spinning, extruding, solidifying, drawing twisting, and dip coating..."

The applicant disagrees, especially as not a single specific reference was provided that would support the Office's generic assertion. Nevertheless, the applicant *elects Group I without traverse* to advance prosecution in the present matter (claims 9-16 read on Group I).

REQUEST FOR ALLOWANCE

Claims 9-27 are pending in this application, with claims 17-17 being withdrawn. The applicant requests allowance of all pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

RUTAN & TUCKER

By 

Martin Fessenmaier, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 46,697

Tel.: (714) 641-5100